City of Las Vegas

AGENDA MEMO

PLANNING COMMISSION MEETING DATE: OCTOBER 8, 2009

DEPARTMENT: PLANNING AND DEVELOPMENT

ITEM DESCRIPTION: VAR-35760 - APPLICANT/OWNER: BUSTAN FAMILY

REVOCABLE LIVING TRUST

** CONDITIONS **

STAFF RECOMMENDATION: DENIAL. If Approved, subject to:

Planning and Development

- 1. Conformance to the conditions for Site Development Plan Review (SDR-35757) and Variance (VAR-35758) if approved.
- 2. This approval shall be void two years from the date of final approval, unless a certificate of occupancy has been issued or upon approval of a final inspection. An Extension of Time may be filed for consideration by the City of Las Vegas.

** STAFF REPORT **

PROJECT DESCRIPTION

This is a request for a Variance to allow 15 parking spaces where 17 are required and 60% of the required on-site parking to be compact parking spaces where 30% is the maximum allowed for a proposed office development at 2511 West Charleston Boulevard. Associated requests have also been submitted for a Variance (VAR-35758) for lot coverage and a Site Development Plan Review (SDR-35757) for a proposed 4,999 square foot office with Waivers of the Title 19.12.040 Perimeter Landscape Buffer Standards.

Staff cannot support this Variance request, as the applicant has not provided compelling evidence of a unique or extraordinary circumstance, and has created a self-imposed hardship by proposing to overbuild the site; therefore, staff is recommending denial of this request. If this application is denied, associated requests for a Variance (VAR-35758) and Site Development Plan Review (SDR-35757) must also be denied, and the site will remain as it is.

ISSUES

 Approval of this Variance request is required to approve associated requests for a Variance (VAR-35758) for increased lot coverage and a Site Development Plan Review (SDR-35757) for a proposed 4,999 square foot office with Waivers of the Title 19.12.040 Perimeter Landscape Buffer Standards.

BACKGROUND INFORMATION

Related Relevant City Actions by P&D, Fire, Bldg., etc.				
06/19/02	The City Council approved a General Plan Amendment (GPA-0047-01) to amend portions of the Southeast Sector map of the General Plan in the general vicinity of the Charleston Boulevard/Rancho Drive intersection from SC (Service Commercial) to O (Office), from R (Rural Density Residential) to DR (Desert Rural Density Residential), from O (Office) to DR (Desert Rural Density Residential) and from L (Low Density Residential) to DR (Desert Rural Density Residential). Planning Commission recommended denial. Staff recommended approval.			
05/21/09	A deed was recorded for a change in ownership at 2511 West Charleston Boulevard.			

Related Building Permits/Business Licenses					
04/07/99	A business license (Q07-00149) for a Medical Firm was issued at 2511 West				
	Charleston Boulevard. The license was marked out of business on 07/15/09.				
10/23/02	A business license (Q07-00148) for a Medical Firm was issued at 2511 West				
	Charleston Boulevard. The license was marked "out of business" on 09/30/08.				

Pre-Application	Meeting			
08/21/09	 The following items were discussed at the pre-application conference: Meet with Flood Control to determine finished floor elevations, bu drainage study is required. Waivers of the perimeter landscape buffers will be required. A Variance is required to allow 15 parking spaces where 17 spaces required and 60% of the required on-site parking to be comparking spaces where 30% is the maximum allowed. A Variance is required to allow 35% lot coverage where 30% is maximum allowed. 			
Neighborhood M	<i>leeting</i>			
A neighborhood i	meeting was not required for this application, nor was one held.			
Field Check				
09/03/09	A field check was performed by staff at the subject property and found an unoccupied office building. The site was well maintained with the exception of the following: • Dead landscaping in the front yard landscape buffer. • Faded parking lot striping.			

Details of Application Request			
Site Area			
Net Acres	0.33		

Surrounding Property	Existing Land Use	Planned Land Use	Existing Zoning	
Subject Property	Office	O (Office)	C-D (Designed	
Subject Froperty	Office	O (Office)	Commercial)	
North	Medical Offices	O (Office)	C-D (Designed	
North	Wiedical Offices	O (Office)	Commercial)	
South	Single-Family	L (Low Density	R-1 (Single-Family	
South	Residential	Residential)	Residential)	
East	Office	O (Office)	P-R (Professional	
East		O (Office)	Office and Parking)	
West	Office	O (Office)	P-R (Professional	
west	Office	O (Office)	Office and Parking)	

GK

Special Districts/Zones	Yes	No	Compliance
Special Purpose and Overlay Districts			Y
A-O Airport Overlay District – 175 Feet	X		Y
Trails		X	N/A
Rural Preservation Overlay District		X	N/A
Development Impact Notification Assessment		X	N/A
Project of Regional Significance		X	N/A

DEVELOPMENT STANDARDS

Pursuant to Title 19.10, the following parking standards apply:

Parking Requirement							
Gross Floor		Required			Provided		Compliance
	Area or		Parking		Parking		
	Number of	Parking		Handi-		Handi-	
Use	Units	Ratio	Regular	capped	Regular	capped	
Office, Other							
than Listed	4,999 SF	1:300	16	1	14	1	
Total			17		15		N
Compact							
Spaces			5		9		N
Percent				•		•	
Deviation			30%	6	609	%	

ANALYSIS

The parking provided on this site is inadequate to meet the needs of the proposed development, as required by Title 19.04. Alternative site design or a project of smaller scope would alleviate the need for this Variance; therefore, staff is recommending denial of the request as the applicant has created a self-imposed hardship by proposing to overbuild the site

FINDINGS

In accordance with the provisions of Title 19.18.070(B), Planning Commission and City Council, in considering the merits of a Variance request, shall not grant a Variance in order to:

- 1. Permit a use in a zoning district in which the use is not allowed;
- 2. Vary any minimum spacing requirement between uses;
- 3. Relieve a hardship which is solely personal, self-created or financial in nature."

VAR-35760 - Staff Report Page Four October 8, 2009 - Planning Commission Meeting

Additionally, Title 19.18.070L states:

"Where by reason of exceptional narrowness, shallowness, or shape of a specific piece of property at the time of enactment of the regulation, or by reason of exceptional topographic conditions or other extraordinary and exceptional situation or condition of the piece of property, the strict application of any zoning regulation would result in peculiar and exceptional practical difficulties to, or exceptional and undue hardships upon, the owner of the property, a variance from that strict application may be granted so as to relieve the difficulties or hardship, if the relief may be granted without substantial detriment to the public good, without substantial impairment of affected natural resources and without substantially impairing the intent and purpose of any ordinance or resolution."

No evidence of a unique or extraordinary circumstance has been presented, in that the applicant has created a self-imposed hardship. Alternative development of the site with a reduced building footprint or alternate site configuration would allow conformance to the Title 19 requirements. In view of the absence of any hardships imposed by the site's physical characteristics, it is concluded that the applicant's hardship is preferential in nature, and it is thereby outside the realm of NRS Chapter 278 for granting of Variances.

ASSEMBLY DISTRICT 9 SENATE DISTRICT 3 NOTICES MAILED 146 APPROVALS 1 PROTESTS 7